Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/746,744	ROGERS, STEVEN A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Scheibel	2616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Robert C. Scheibel.	(3)	
(2) <u>David Rouille (Reg. No. 40,150)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 April 2007	Time: <u>10:00 EDT</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.	,	
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
Claims discussed: 1, 12, 25, 28, and 39		
Prior art documents discussed:	•	
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation 	he examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Robert Self 4-30-07		
	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the claims as amended in the RCE would still be rejected and proposed adding more detail about how the schedule is created, specifically, the use of the heartbeat packets to synchronize the interval and determine the schedule of a given flow. Applicant proposed claim language to this effect which is included with the attached Examiner's Amendment..